



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/552,425

10/07/2005

Nicola Murdoch Heron

101015-1P US

6673

44992 7590 09/25/2009

ASTRAZENECA R&D BOSTON  
35 GATEHOUSE DRIVE  
WALTHAM, MA 02451-1215

EXAMINER

TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1624

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/25/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/552,425	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HERON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> TAMTHOM N. TRUONG	<b>Art Unit</b> 1624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TAMTHOM N. TRUONG. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Jacob G. Weintraub, Esq. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All claims of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: Copending application 10/559,329.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Weintraub indicated that applicants intended to abandon application 10/559,329 to remove the provisional ODP rejection, and that claim 25 would be made independent to remove improper dependency.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tamthom N. Truong/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624	/J. O. W./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624
--	--